arXiv:1703.00145v1 [math.FA] 1 Mar 2017

MIX-POINT PROPERTY IN QUASI-PSEUDOMETRIC SPACES.

YAÉ OLATOUNDJI GABA[1,*]

Abstract. In this article, we give new results in the startpoint theory for quasi-pseudometric spaces. The results we present provide us with the existence of startpoint (endpoint, fixed point) for multi-valued maps defined on a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. We characterise the existence of startpoint and endpoint by the so-called *mix-point property*. The present results extend known ones in the area.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The theory of startpoint, first introduced in [1], came to extend the idea of fixed points for multi-valued mappings defined on quasi-pseudometric spaces. A series of three papers, see [1, 2, 3] has given a more or less detailed introduction to the subject. The aim of the present article is to continue this study by introducing the idea of *mix-point property*, which is used to characterise the existence of startpoints.

Definition 1.1. Let X be a non empty set. A function $d : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ is called a **quasi-pseudometric** on X if:

i) $d(x, x) = 0 \quad \forall\, x \in X$,
ii) $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z) \quad \forall\, x, y, z \in X$.

Moreover, if

iii) $d(x, y) = 0 = d(y, x) \Longrightarrow x = y$, then d is said to be a T_0**-quasi-metric**.

The latter condition is referred to as the T_0-condition.

Remark 1.2.

- Let d be a quasi-pseudometric on X, then the function d^{-1} defined by $d^{-1}(x, y) = d(y, x)$ whenever $x, y \in X$ is also a quasi-pseudometric on X, called the **conjugate** of d. In the literature, d^{-1} is also denoted d^t or $\bar{d}$.
- It is easy to verify that the function d^s defined by $d^s := d \vee d^{-1}$, i.e. $d^s(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), d(y, x)\}$ defines a metric on X whenever d is a T_0-quasi-metric on X.

Definition 1.3. [1] A T_0-quasi-metric space (X, d) is called **bicomplete** provided that the metric d^s on X is complete.

Let (X, d) be a quasi-pseudometric space. We set $\mathscr{P}_0(X) := 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ where 2^X denotes the power set of X. For $x \in X$ and $A \in \mathscr{P}_0(X)$, we define:

$$d(x, A) = \inf\{d(x, a), a \in A\}, \quad d(A, x) = \inf\{d(a, x), a \in A\}.$$

We also define the map $H : \mathscr{P}_0(X) \times \mathscr{P}_0(X) \to [0, \infty]$ by

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47H05; Secondary 47H09, 47H10.
Key words and phrases. quasi-pseudometric; bi-completeness; startpoint; endpoint; approximate startpoint property; approximate endpoint property; approximate mix-point property, fixed point.

$$H(A,B) = \max\left\{\sup_{a\in A} d(a,B),\ \sup_{b\in B} d(A,b)\right\} \text{ whenever } A,B,\in \mathscr{P}_0(X).$$

Then H is an extended[1] quasi-pseudometric on $\mathscr{P}_0(X)$.

2. Some first results

We briefly recall the idea of a startpoint, as initially intended in [1].

Definition 2.1. (Compare [1]) Let (X,d) be a T_0-quasi-metric space. Let $F : X \to 2^X$ be a set-valued map. An element $x \in X$ is said to be

(i) a fixed point of F if $x \in Fx$,
(ii) a startpoint of F if $H(\{x\}, Fx) = 0$,
(iii) an endpoint of F if $H(Fx, \{x\}) = 0$.
(iv) an ε-startpoint of F for some $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ if $H(\{x\}, Fx) < \varepsilon$.
(v) an ε-endpoint of F for some $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ if $H(Fx, \{x\}) < \varepsilon$.

Remark 2.2. (See [1]) It is therefore obvious that if x is both a startpoint of F and an endpoint of F, then x is a fixed point of F. In fact, Fx is a singleton. Observe that a fixed point need not to be a startpoint nor an endpoint.
Indeed, consider the T_0-quasi-metric space (X,d) where $X = \{0,1\}$ and d defined by $d(0,1) = 0$, $d(1,0) = 1$ and $d(x,x) = 0$ for $x = 0,1$. We define on X the set-valued map $F : X \to 2^X$ by $Fx = X$. Obviously, 1 is a fixed point, but $H(\{1\}, F1) = H(\{1\}, X) = \max\{d(1,1), d(1,0)\} = 1 \neq 0$.

Lemma 2.3. [1] *Let (X,d) be a T_0-quasi-metric space and $F : X \to 2^X$ be a set-valued map. An element $x \in X$ is a startpoint of F if and only if it is an ε-startpoint of F for every $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$.*

Lemma 2.4. [1] *Let (X,d) be a T_0-quasi-metric space and $F : X \to 2^X$ be a set-valued map. An element $x \in X$ is an endpoint of F if and only if it is an ε-endpoint of F for every $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$.*

Definition 2.5. [1] Let (X,d) be a T_0-quasi-metric space. We say that a set-valued map $F : X \to 2^X$ has the **approximate startpoint property** (resp. **approximate endpoint property**), if

$$\inf_{x\in X}\sup_{y\in Fx} d(x,y) = 0 \quad (\text{resp. } \inf_{x\in X}\sup_{y\in Fx} d(y,x) = 0).$$

Definition 2.6. [1] Let (X,d) be a T_0-quasi-metric space. We say that a set-valued map $F : X \to 2^X$ has the **approximate mix-point property** if

$$\inf_{x\in X}\sup_{y\in Fx} d^s(x,y) = 0.$$

Here, it is also very clear that F has the approximate mix-point property if and only if F has both the approximate startpoint and the approximate endpoint properties.
We illustrate our definitions by giving the following example.

[1]This means that H can attain the value ∞ as it appears in the definition.

Example 2.7. (Compare [1]) Let $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$. The map $d : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ defined by $d(0, 1) = d(0, 2) = 0$, $d(1, 0) = d(1, 2) = 1$, $d(2, 0) = d(2, 1) = 2$ and $d(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$ is a T_0-quasi-pseudometric on X. Let $F : X \to 2^X$ be the set mapping defined by $Fa = X \setminus \{a\}$ for any $a \in X$. Then F admits 0 as unique startpoint, no endpoint and no fixed point. Moreover, F has the approximate startpoint property but does not have the approximate endpoint property.

We recall below the main theorem in the startpoint theory that appeared in [1].

Theorem 2.8. [1, Theorem 29] *Let (X, d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies*

$$H(Fx, Fy) \leq \psi(d(x, y)), \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is upper semicontinuous, $\psi(t) < t$ for each $t > 0$ and $\liminf_{t\to\infty}(t - \psi(t)) > 0$. Then there exists a unique $x_0 \in X$ which is both a startpoint and an endpoint of F if and only if F has the approximate mix-point property.

We introduce the following definitions:

Definition 2.9. Let (X, d) be a quasi-pseudometric space, $J : X \to X$ be a single valued mapping and $F : X \to 2^X$ be a multi-valued mapping. We say that the mappings J and F have the **approximate startpoint property** (resp. **approximate endpoint property**), if

$$\inf_{x\in X} \sup_{y\in Fx} d(Jx, y) = 0 \quad (\text{resp. } \inf_{x\in X} \sup_{y\in Fx} d(y, Jx) = 0).$$

Definition 2.10. Let (X, d) be a T_0-quasi-pseudometric space, $J : X \to X$ be a single valued mapping. We say that J and the set-valued map $F : X \to 2^X$ have the **approximate mix-point property** if

$$\inf_{x\in X} \sup_{y\in Fx} d^s(Jx, y) = 0.$$

Definition 2.11. (Compare [1]) Let (X, d) be a quasi-pseudometric space, $J : X \to X$ be a single valued mapping. Let $F : X \to 2^X$ be a set-valued map. An element $x \in X$ is said to be

(i) a J-fixed point of F if $Jx \in Fx$,
(ii) a startpoint of J and F if $H(\{Jx\}, Fx) = 0$,
(iii) an endpoint of J and F if $H(Fx, \{Jx\}) = 0$.

The next three results are the first results of this paper. We shall not give any proof, since the proofs follow the same arguments as the proofs in [1].

Theorem 2.12. *(Compare*[1, Theorem 29]*) Let (X, d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map and let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfiy*

$$H(Fx, Fy) \leq \psi(d(Jx, Jy)), \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is usc[2], $\psi(t) < t$ for each $t > 0$ and $\liminf_{t\to\infty}(t - \psi(t)) > 0$. Then there exists a unique $x_0 \in X$ which is both a startpoint and an endpoint of J and F if and only if J and F have the approximate mix-point property.

[2]for upper semicontinuous

Theorem 2.13. *(Compare*[1, Theorem 31]*) Let (X, d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map and let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfy*

$$H(Fx, Fy) \leq k(d(Jx, Jy)), \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{2.3}$$

where $k \in [0, 1)$. Then there exists a unique $x_0 \in X$ which is both a startpoint and an endpoint of J and F if and only if J and F have the approximate mix-point property.

Theorem 2.14. *(Compare*[1, Corollary 30]*) Let (X, d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies*

$$H(Fx, Fy) \leq \psi(d(Jx, Jy)), \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{2.4}$$

where $\psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is an upper semicontinuous map that satisfies $\psi(t) < t$ for each $t > 0$ and $\liminf_{t\to\infty}(t - \psi(t)) > 0$. If J and F have the approximate mix-point property then F has a J-fixed point.

Remark 2.15. Observe that if we put $J = I_X$(identity map on X) in Theorems 2.12, 2.13 and 2.14 respectively, we obtain [1, Theorem 29, Theorem 31, Corollary 30] respectively.

3. More results

In [1], the proof of Theorem 2.8 basically establishes that the sets

$$C_n = \left\{ x \in X : \sup_{y \in Fx} d^s(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{n} \right\} \neq \emptyset, \qquad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \cdots\},$$

form a non-increasing sequence of bounded and $\tau(d^s)$-closed sets. The conclusion follows from the Cantor intersection theorem. We shall use a similar approach in proving the next two results, with the difference that we present simpler and shorter arguments.
We now present the first non trivial generalisation of [1, Theorem 29].

Theorem 3.1. *Let (X, d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x, y) \leq d(Jx, Jy)$ for some constant $r > 0$. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies*

$$H(Fx, Fy) \leq \alpha d(Jx, Jy), \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $r\alpha < 1$. Then there exists a unique $x_0 \in X$ which is both a startpoint and an endpoint of J and F if and only if J and F have the approximate mix-point property.

Proof. It is clear that if J and F admit a point which is both a startpoint and an endpoint, then J and F have the approximate startpoint property and the approximate endpoint property, i.e the approximate mix-point property. Conversely, suppose J and F have the approximate mix-point property. Then

$$C_n = \left\{ x \in X : \sup_{y \in Fx} d^s(Jx, y) \leq \frac{1}{n} \right\} \neq \emptyset,$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $C_{n+1} \subseteq C_n$. Since the map $x \mapsto \sup_{y \in Fx} d^s(Jx, y)$ is $\tau(d^s)$-lsc[3] (as supremum of $\tau(d^s)$-continuous mappings), then C_n is $\tau(d^s)$-closed.
Next we prove that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, C_n is bounded. Indeed, for any $x, y \in C_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(Jx, Jy) &= H(\{Jx\}, \{Jy\}) \\ &\leq H(\{Jx\}, Fx) + H(Fx, Fy) + H(Fy, \{Jy\}) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{n} + \alpha d(Jx, Jy). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$d(Jx, Jy) \leq \frac{2}{n(1-\alpha)},$$

and since $rd(x, y) \leq d(Jx, Jy)$, we have

$$\delta(C_n) \leq \frac{2}{rn(1-\alpha)}.$$

Therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty} \delta(C_n) = 0$. It follows from the Cantor intersection theorem that

$$\bigcap_{n \in N} C_n = \{x_0\}.$$

Thus

$$H(\{Jx_0\}, Fx_0) = \sup_{y \in Fx_0} d(Jx_0, y) = 0 = \sup_{y \in Fx_0} d(y, Jx_0) = H(Fx_0, \{Jx_0\}).$$

For uniqueness, if z_0 is an arbitrary startpoint and endpoint of J and F, then

$$H(\{Jz_0\}, Fz_0) = 0 = H(Fz_0, \{Jz_0\}),$$

and so $z_0 \in \bigcap_{n \in N} C_n = \{x_0\}$. □

We give the following example to illustrate our result.

Example 3.2. Consider the T_0-quasi-metric space (X, d) where $X = \{0, 1\}$ and d be defined by $d(0, 1) = 0$, $d(1, 0) = 1$ and $d(x, x) = 0$ for $x = 0, 1$. Note that (X, d) is bicomplete. We define on X the set-valued map $F : X \to 2^X$ by $Fx = \{0\}$ and the single-valued continuous mapping $J : X \to X$ by $Jx = x^2$. It is clear that for all $x, y \in X, H(Fx, Fy) = 0$.
For $x = 0, y = 1$, $d(x, y) = d(0, 1) = 0$, and $d(Jx, Jy) = d(0, 1) = 0$.
For $x = 1, y = 0$, $d(x, y) = d(1, 0) = 1$, and $d(Jx, Jy) = d(1, 0) = 1$.
So if we set $r = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$, we have that $r\alpha = \frac{1}{6} < 1$ and

$$rd(x, y) \leq d(Jx, Jy).$$

Moreover, the condition (3.1) is satisfied. Since $H(\{J0\}, F0) = 0 = H(F0, \{J0\})$, then 0 is both a startpoint and an endpoint of J and F.

[3]For lower semicontinuous

Observe also that:
-for $x = 0, Fx = \{0\}$, $\sup_{y\in Fx} d^s(Jx, y) = d^s(0, 0) = 0$,
-for $x = 1, Fx = \{0\}$, $\sup_{y\in Fx} d^s(Jx, y) = d^s(1, 0) = 1$, and hence

$$\inf_{x\in X} \sup_{y\in Fx} d^s(Jx, y) = 0.$$

i.e. J and F have the approximate mix-point property.

Corollary 3.3. *Let (X, d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x, y) \leq d(Jx, Jy)$ for some constant $r > 0$ and for each $x, y \in X$. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies*

$$H(Fx, Fy) \leq \alpha d(Jx, Jy), \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $r\alpha < 1$. If J and F have the approximate mix-point property then F has a J-fixed point.

Proof. From Theorem 3.1, we conclude that there exists $x_0 \in X$ which is both a startpoint and an endpoint for J and F, i.e $H(\{Jx_0\}, Fx_0) = 0 = H(Fx_0, \{Jx_0\})$. The T_0-condition therefore guarantees the desired result.

□

Theorem 3.4. *Let (X, d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x, y) \leq d(Jx, Jy)$ for some constant $r > 0$ and for each $x, y \in X$. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies*

$$H(Fx, Fy) \leq \alpha[d(Jx, Fx) + d(Jy, Fy)], \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{3.3}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$. Then there exists a unique $x_0 \in X$ which is both a startpoint and an endpoint of J and F if and only if J and F have the approximate mix-point property.

Proof. Once again, only one implication will be of interest to us, since the other one is trivial. So suppose J and F have the approximate mix-point property. Then we already know that the sets

$$C_n = \left\{ x \in X : \sup_{y\in Fx} d^s(Jx, y) \leq \frac{1}{n} \right\} \neq \emptyset,$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are $\tau(d^s)$-closed and that $C_{n+1} \subseteq C_n$.
Next we prove that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, C_n is bounded. Indeed, for any $x, y \in C_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(Jx, Jy) &= H(\{Jx\}, \{Jy\}) \\ &\leq H(\{Jx\}, Fx) + H(Fx, Fy) + H(Fy, \{Jy\}) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{n} + \alpha[d(Jx, Fx) + d(Jy, Fy)] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n}(2 + 2\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$d(Jx, Jy) \leq \frac{1}{n}(2 + 2\alpha),$$

and since $rd(x,y) \leq d(Jx,Jy)$, we have

$$\delta(C_n) \leq \frac{1}{rn}(2+2\alpha).$$

Therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty} \delta(C_n) = 0$. It follows from the Cantor intersection theorem that

$$\bigcap_{n\in N} C_n = \{x_0\}.$$

Thus

$$H(\{Jx_0\}, Fx_0) = \sup_{y\in Fx_0} d(Jx_0, y) = 0 = \sup_{y\in Fx_0} d(y, Jx_0) = H(Fx_0, \{Jx_0\}).$$

For uniqueness, if z_0 is an arbitrary startpoint and endpoint of J and F, then

$$H(\{Jz_0\}, Fz_0) = 0 = H(Fz_0, \{Jz_0\}),$$

and so $z_0 \in \bigcap_{n\in N} C_n = \{x_0\}$.

□

Example 3.5. Consider the T_0-quasi-metric space (X,d) where $X = \{0,1\}$ and d defined by $d(0,1) = 0,\ d(1,0) = 1$ and $d(x,x) = 0$ for $x = 0,1$. Note that (X,d) is bicomplete. We define on X the set-valued map $F : X \to 2^X$ by $Fx = \{0\}$ and the single valued continuous map $J : X \to X$ by $Jx = x^3$. It is clear that for all $x,y \in X, H(Fx,Fy) = 0$.
For $x = 0, y = 1, d(x,y) = d(0,1) = 0, d(Jx,Jy) = d(0,1) = 0$.
For $x = 1, y = 0, d(x,y) = d(1,0) = 1, d(Jx,Jy) = d(1,0) = 1$. So if we set $r = \frac{1}{2}$, we have that

$$rd(x,y) \leq d(Jx,Jy).$$

So if we set $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$, we have that $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. Since $H(\{J0\}, F0) = 0 = H(F0, \{J0\})$, then 0 is both a startpoint and an endpoint of J and F.
For $x = 0, y = 1, \alpha[d(Jx,Fx) + d(Jy,Fy)] = \frac{1}{3}$ and
for $x = 1, y = 0, \alpha[d(Jx,Fx) + d(Jy,Fy)] = \frac{1}{3}$, so the condition (3.3) is satisfied.
Observe also that

$$\inf_{x\in X} \sup_{y\in Fx} d^s(Jx,y) = 0.$$

i.e. J and F have the approximate mix-point property.

Corollary 3.6. *Let (X,d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x,y) \leq d(Jx,Jy)$ for some constant $r > 0$ and for each $x,y \in X$. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies*

$$H(Fx,Fy) \leq \alpha[d(Jx,Fx) + d(Jy,Fy)], \quad \text{for each } x,y \in X, \tag{3.4}$$

where $\alpha \in (0,1/2)$. If J and F have the approximate mix-point property then F has a J-fixed point.

Using the same idea as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.4, one can establish the following results:

Theorem 3.7. *Let (X, d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x, y) \leq d(Jx, Jy)$ for some constant $r > 0$ and for each $x, y \in X$. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies*

$$H(Fx, Fy) \leq \alpha[d(Jx, Fy) + d(Fx, Jy)], \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{3.5}$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ with $2r\alpha < 1$. Then there exists a unique $x_0 \in X$ which is both a startpoint and an endpoint of J and F if and only if J and F have the approximate mix-point property.

Theorem 3.8. *Let (X, d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x, y) \leq d(Jx, Jy)$ for some constant $r > 0$ and for each $x, y \in X$. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies*

$$H(Fx, Fy) \leq \alpha d(Jx, Jy) + Ld(Fx, Jy), \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{3.6}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and $L \geq 0$ such that $r(\alpha + L) < 1$. Then there exists a unique $x_0 \in X$ which is both a startpoint and an endpoint of J and F if and only if J and F have the approximate mix-point property.

4. Concluding remarks

All the above results remain true if instead we consider a quasi-pseudometric type space (X, d, b) (see [4]). On the other side, the sets C_n considered in the investigation can be made more general in the sense that we could consider the net $\{C_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ where the C_ε are sets of the form:

$$C_\varepsilon = \left\{ x \in X : \sup_{y \in Fx} d^s(Jx, y) \leq \varepsilon \right\}, \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Therefore, the Theorem 3.1 could be reformulated as follows:

Theorem 4.1. *Let (X, d, b) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric type space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x, y) \leq d(Jx, Jy)$ for some constant $r > 0$ and for each $x, y \in X$. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies*

$$H(Fx, Fy) \leq \alpha d(Jx, Jy), \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that $r\alpha b^2 < 1$. Then there exists a unique $x_0 \in X$ which is both a startpoint and an endpoint of J and F if and only if J and F have the approximate mix-point property.

In proving this Theorem 4.1, the following lemma is key:

Lemma 4.2. *Let (X, d, b) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric type space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x, y) \leq d(Jx, Jy)$ for some constant $r > 0$ such that $r\alpha b^2 < 1$ and for each $x, y \in X$. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies*

$$H(Fx, Fy) \leq \alpha d(Jx, Jy), \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then

$$\delta(C_\varepsilon) \leq \frac{b\varepsilon(1+b)}{r(1-\alpha^2 b)}, \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Similarly, the Theorem 3.4 could be reformulated as follows:

Theorem 4.3. *Let (X, d, b) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric type space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x, y) \leq d(Jx, Jy)$ for some constant $r > 0$ and for each $x, y \in X$. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies*

$$H(Fx, Fy) \leq \alpha[d(Jx, Fx) + d(Jy, Fy)], \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{4.3}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$. Then there exists a unique $x_0 \in X$ which is both a startpoint and an endpoint of J and F if and only if J and F have the approximate mix-point property.

The key lemma for Theorem 4.3 is

Lemma 4.4. *Let (X, d, b) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric type space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x, y) \leq d(Jx, Jy)$ for some constant $r > 0$ and for each $x, y \in X$. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies*

$$H(Fx, Fy) \leq \alpha[d(Jx, Fx) + d(Jy, Fy)], \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{4.4}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$. Then

$$\delta(C_\varepsilon) \leq \frac{b\varepsilon}{r}(1 + b + 2\alpha b), \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0.$$

In a similar manner, the Theorem 3.7 could be reformulated as follows:

Theorem 4.5. *Let (X, d, b) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric type space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x, y) \leq d(Jx, Jy)$ for some constant $r > 0$ and for each $x, y \in X$. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies*

$$H(Fx, Fy) \leq \alpha[d(Jx, Fy) + d(Fx, Jy)], \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{4.5}$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ with $2b^2 r\alpha < 1$. Then there exists a unique $x_0 \in X$ which is both a startpoint and an endpoint of J and F if and only if J and F have the approximate mix-point property.

The key lemma for Theorem 4.5 is therefore:

Lemma 4.6. *Let (X, d, b) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric type space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x, y) \leq d(Jx, Jy)$ for some constant $r > 0$ and for each $x, y \in X$. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies*

$$H(Fx, Fy) \leq \alpha[d(Jx, Fy) + d(Fx, Jy)], \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{4.6}$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ with $2b^2 r\alpha < 1$. Then

$$\delta(C_\varepsilon) \leq \frac{b\varepsilon}{r(1-2b^2\alpha)}(1+b+2\alpha b), \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Finally the Theorem 3.8 could be reformulated as follows:

Theorem 4.7. *Let (X,d,b) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric type space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x,y) \leq d(Jx,Jy)$ for some constant $r > 0$and for each $x,y \in X$. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies*

$$H(Fx,Fy) \leq \alpha d(Jx,Jy) + Ld(Fx,Jy), \quad \text{for each } x,y \in X, \tag{4.7}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and $L \geq 0$ such that $rb^2(\alpha + bL) < 1$. Then there exists a unique $x_0 \in X$ which is both a startpoint and an endpoint of J and F if and only if J and F have the approximate mix-point property.

The proof of Theorem 4.7 will be done with the use of the following lemma:

Lemma 4.8. *Let (X,d,b) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric type space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x,y) \leq d(Jx,Jy)$ for some constant $r > 0$ and for each $x,y \in X$. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies*

$$H(Fx,Fy) \leq \alpha d(Jx,Jy) + Ld(Fx,Jy), \quad \text{for each } x,y \in X, \tag{4.8}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and $L \geq 0$ such that $rb^2(\alpha + bL) < 1$. Then

$$\delta(C_\varepsilon) \leq \frac{b\varepsilon(1+b+Lb^2)}{r(1-b^2(\alpha+bL))}, \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Conflict of interest.
The author declares that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this article.

References

1. Y. U. Gaba; *Startpoints and (α,γ)-contractions in quasi-pseudometric spaces*, Journal of Mathematics, Volume 2014 (2014), Article ID 709253, 8 pages.
2. Y. U. Gaba; *Advances in Startpoint Theory for quasi-pseudometric spaces*, Bull. of the Allahabad Math. Soc., In press.
3. Y. U. Gaba; *New Results in the Startpoint Theory for Quasi-Pseudometric Spaces*, Journal of Operators. Volume 2014 (2014), Article ID 741818, 5 pages.
4. E. F. Kazeem, C. A. Agyingi and Y. U. Gaba; *On Quasi-Pseudometric Type Spaces*, Chinese Journal of Mathematics, Volume (2014)2014, Article ID 198685, 7 pages, 2014. doi:10.1155/2014/198685.
5. H.-P. Künzi, *An introduction to quasi-uniform spaces*, Contemp. Math. 486 (2009), 239–304.
6. H.-P. Künzi and C. Ryser, *The Bourbaki quasi-uniformity* , Topology Proceedings, vol. 20, pp 161-183, 1995.

[1]Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Cape Town, South Africa.
E-mail address: gabayae2@gmail.com